

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	•	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/851,882	05/09/2001	Dipak Ghosh	į	210556	4321	
23,460 75	590 05/16/2002		i K			
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE			; ;	EXAMINER		
				YU, GINA C		
CHICAGO, IL			ě			
increso, in	00001 0700		i	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
; ;			\$	1617		
;			,	DATE MAILED: 05/16/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office A ship or Company and	09/851,882	GHOSH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gina C. Yu	1617					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>February 25, 2002</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5 	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/851,882

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of Amendment filed on February 25, 2002. Claims 1-45 are pending. Claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103 are maintained for reasons of record as indicated in the previous office action dated November 8, 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

1. Claims 1-36 and 38-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fänger et al. (US 6153204) ("Fänger").

Rejection is maintained for reasons of record as indicated in the previous office action dated November 8, 2001.

2. Claims 1-20, 23-41, and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoppe et al. (US 5912272) ("Hoppe") in view of Raab (Uses of Urea in Cosmetology, 1990).

Rejection is maintained for reasons of record as indicated in the previous office action dated November 8, 2001.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 25, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

While applicants assert that combining urea and coenzyme Q10 yield unexpected results, the evidence which applicants rely on dees not commensurate with the scope of the instant claim. The disclosure in Example 2, in specification, indicates

Application/Control Number: 09/851,882

Art Unit: 1617

that the test of the synergism was conducted under the condition that the weight ratio between urea and coenzyme Q10 is 10:1. The scope of the instant claims, however, extends to a combination of urea and coenzyme Q10 in the in the ratio ranging from 1:5 to about 20:1. See claim 1. Therefore, applicants' allegation of synergistic effect between urea and coenzyme Q10 in the claimed range is not supported by the disclosure. The rejections are proper.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 703-308-3951.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie can be reached on 703-308-4612. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Gina C. Yu Patent Examiner May 10, 2002 RUSSELL TRAVERS RUSSELIMARY EXAMINER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200